

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/661,945	09/12/2003	Neil Birkett	13587.40 8389		
22832	7590 11/14/2006		EXAMINER		
	ICK & LOCKHART NIC	LU, JIA			
One Lincoln Street BOSTON, MA 02111-2950			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2611		
			DATE MAILED: 11/14/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/661,	945	BIRKETT, NEIL			
		Examin	er	Art Unit			
		Jia W. L	u	2611			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this commun	ication appears on t	he cover sheet with the d	correspondence ad	idress		
WHICH - Extensi after SL - If NO po - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F IEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE N ons of time may be available under the provisions X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum si to reply within the set or extended period for reply ly received by the Office later than three months patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. latutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	THIS COMMUNICATION Event, however, may a reply be ting will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from pplication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this of (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) file this action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition losed in accordance with the pract	2b)⊠ This action is for allowance excep	non-final. ot for formal matters, pr		e merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)図 C	Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the say Of the above claim(s) is/acceptaim(s) is/acceptaim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1,9 and 14-19</u> is/are reject claim(s) <u>2-8 and 10-13</u> is/are object claim(s) are subject to restrict	ed.					
Applicatio	n Papers						
10)⊠ TI A R	ne specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on 14 September pplicant may not request that any objected to produce the country of	er 2003 is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requ) be held in abeyance. Se lired if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).		
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice (3) Informa	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (fition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other:	ate			

Application/Control Number: 10/661,945 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

- 1. Claims 3 and 19 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a. Claim 3 should be dependent on claim 2.
 - b. Claim 19 should be dependent on claim 18.

Appropriate correction is required.

 In the following rejections, claim 3 is assumed to be dependent on claim 2 and claim 19 is assumed to be dependent on claim 18 (confirmed via phone with Ken Cool on 11/1/06).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

3. Claims 1, 9, 14, 15-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US application 2004/0002323, in view of US patents 6,590,528, 4,531,089 and 6,308155. '323 shows a wireless receiver receiving a radio frequency signal, down-converted and processed into in-phase and quadrature signals (figure 1, element 10), and a method of automatic gain control including variable gain amplifiers (elements 51 a,b) and feedback control signals based on in-phase and quadrature mismatch (figure 1, element 40). While '323 does not show locally generated control signals using detection and digitizing, '089 shows these features (figure 4, element 3, 7, 11, 5 and 6). While the ADC in '089 is placed prior to power detection, such an arrangement can be reversed to suit design, see '528 (figure 1, elements 24 and 28). It would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to incorporate power detection in the system of '323 to account for both I-Q mismatch as well as power control. Further, while above references do not show cascading AGC stages, such a feature is known in the art, and it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to use multiple AGC units to "provide better gain normalization and onset enhancement." (see '155, column 6, lines 57-67).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-8, 10-13 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/661,945

Art Unit: 2611

Conclusion

Page 4

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jia W. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-6042.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 8:30AM-4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)272-3042. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jia Lu

Examiner

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER